IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

TODD JERNIGAN, On Behalf of Himself, All Others Similarly Situated, and the General Public,) Case No. 11-842-DRH-PMF
Plaintiff,) CJRA Track D - Class Action
VS.) Presumptive Trial Month:) September, 2013
BEAM GLOBAL SPIRITS & WINE, INC.,)
FORTUNE BRANDS, INC.,	Judge: Hon. David R. HerndonChief Judge
Defendants.	·

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

Pursuant to SDIL-LR 16.1, the above-styled cause has been assigned as a "Track D" case. Therefore, you are placed on notice that a presumptive trial month has been set as indicated above.

Pursuant to SDIL-LR 16.2(a), SDIL-LR 23.1, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), an initial pretrial scheduling and discovery conference is set before <u>Magistrate Judge Philip M.</u>

<u>Frazier via telephone conference call on January 13, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.</u> Counsel for Plaintiff is responsible for placing the conference call between the Court (618-439-7750) and counsel.

Lead counsel for the parties shall participate in the conference call.

The parties are to submit a Joint Report of Parties and Proposed Scheduling and Discovery Order using the form provided in the Local Rules, seven (7) days before the conference. The Joint Report and Proposed Scheduling and Discovery Order shall be **submitted via e-mail to** PMFpd@ilsd.uscourts.gov.

The purposes of this conference are:

- (1) To discuss the Joint Report of the Parties as to the proposed discovery plan;
- (2) To discuss the possibility of settlement;

- (3) To discuss the possibility of using a voluntary alternative dispute resolution device (e.g., mediation, arbitration, summary jury trial, mini-trial) to resolve the dispute;
- (4) To discuss the complexity of the case and, if it is tried, the approximate number of days necessary to complete the testimony;
 - (5) To confirm the presumptive date for the trial (see SDIL-LR 16.1(a));
- (6) To set a cut-off date for completion of all discovery including experts' discovery (or in the case of extraordinarily complex cases, the cut-off date for completion of core discovery) which date shall be no later than one hundred fifteen (115) days before the first day of the month of the presumptive trial date;
- (7) To establish a plan for the management of discovery in the case, including any limitations on the use of the various discovery devices that may be agreed to by the parties, ordered by the judicial officer presiding over the conference.
 - (8) To formulate, simplify and narrow the issues;
- (9) To discuss and set deadlines for amendments to the pleadings, including the filing of third-party complaints, which deadline shall be no later than ninety (90) days following this conference;
- (10) To discuss the filing of potential motions and a schedule for their disposition, including the cut-off date for filing dispositive motions;
 - (11) To set the approximate date of the settlement conference (see SDIL-LR 16.3(b));
 - (12) To set the approximate date of the final pretrial conference (see SDIL-LR 16.2(b));
- (13) To consider the advisability of referring various matters to a Magistrate Judge or a Special Master;
 - (14) To discuss the advisability of one or more additional case management conferences

prior to the final pretrial conference; and

(15) To cover any other procedural issues that the judicial officer hearing the case determines

to be appropriate for the fair and efficient management of the litigation.

The Joint Report of Parties and Proposed Scheduling and Discovery Order, consented to and

signed by each party or by an attorney of record, at the discretion of the assigned judicial officer, may

be deemed to satisfy the requirements of SDIL-LR 16.2(a).

All actions taken at the initial pretrial scheduling and discovery conference will be

incorporated into a pretrial scheduling and discovery order, which shall be modified only by Order

of Court.

Dated: December 12, 2011.

NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL

CLERK OF THE COURT

By: /s Karen R. Metheney

Deputy Clerk